



MEMORANDUM

To: Tom Tomeoni

From: Allison Wax

Date: January 30, 2009

Subject: Malibu Middle and High School Campus Improvements Project, Design and Sustainability Community Outreach Meeting, Tuesday January 27, 2009

MEETING PARTICIPANTS

■ MMHS

Mark Kelly, Principal of Malibu Middle and High School

Tel: 310.457.6801 ext. 277

Email: mkelly@smmusd.org

■ PBS&J

Julian Capata, Project Manager

Associate Project Manager-CEQA/NEPA

Tel. 310.268.8132

Email: jfcapata@pbsj.com

Carrie Garlett

Project Manager – CEQA/NEPA

Tel. 310.268.8132

Email: crgarlett@pbsj.com

Allison Wax, Deputy Project Manager

Planner-CEQA/NEPA

Tel. 310.268.8132

Email: apwax@pbsj.com

■ SMMUSD

Virginia Hyatt, Purchasing Director

Measure BB Programs

Tel. 310.450.8338 ext. 249

Email: hyatt@smmusd.org

Janece Maez, Assistant Superintendent

Chief Financial Officer
Tel. 310.450.8338 ext. 268
Email: JMaez@smmusd.org

Laura Rosenthal
Measure “BB” Committee Member

■ Parsons/CCM

Larry Fugal, Deputy Program Manager
SMMUSD Environmental Program Manager
Tel. 310.447.7895 ext.114
Email: Larry.Fugal@parsons.com

■ HMC Architecture

Andrea Cabalo
Project Manager
Tel. 213.542.8300 ext. 113
Email: Andrea.Cabalo@hmcarchitects.com

Gary Gidcumb
Tel. 213.542.8300
Email: Gary.Gidcumb@hmcarchitects.com

Kevin O'Brien
Tel. 213.542.8300
Email: Kevin.O'Brien@hmcarchitects.com

■ City of Malibu

Joseph Smith, Assistant Planner
Planning Department
Tel: 310.456.2489 x336
Email: JSmith@ci.malibu.ca.us

Meeting Agenda/Overview

Mark Kelly, Principal of Malibu Middle and High School presented the need for a new administration building and library on campus and the renovation of Building E, as well as the benefits of incorporating sustainable design in the entirety of the improvement project. The District would like to provide campus facilities that are worthy of the high quality of their educational programs.

- Introduction
- Existing Conditions
- Project Scope
- Sustainability
- Site Design Overview
- Outdoor Learning Environments
- Project Overview
- Construction Phasing
- Updates
- Closing

For a copy of the Presentation used at the Outreach Meeting, go to <http://www.smmusd.org/measureBB/Malibu/DesignSustainability>. The Presentation includes renderings of the proposed facilities, examples of the sustainable features that would be incorporated into the design of the proposed project, proposed construction phasing and updates for traffic and parking, and athletic facilities improvements.

Members of the community were given the opportunity to make comments and suggestions at the conclusion of the presentation. Comment cards were also available to the attendees to submit written comments. Two comment cards were received (see Attachment A). Twelve individuals spoke, their comments are summarized below.

Harriet Pollon

- Opposed to 203 nights of lighting. Other schools limit use to an average of 25 nights.
- Permitting public uses on-site may result in high costs associated with the need for police enforcement, and increased use, including events and public activities.
- The City does not have a sufficient police presence to ensure safety at events which could take place at the high school.
- There must be transparency in these plans.

Marshall Thompson

- The increased use of Malibu Park for recreational activities would be intolerable.
- The lighting plan is destructive to the character of the neighborhood, the biological resources and the community.
- Uses on campus should be confined to day-light hours.
- Night lighting is being forced on neighborhood. No lighting should be permitted. If plans go further, the project will be taken to court.

Victoria Epstein

- Concerned that neighbors are being vilified for their opposition of the lighting.

- The purpose of the school is to educate students. The lack of field lighting would not deprive the students. The campus is not missing any central features.
- The lighting is not for the good of the community.
- Opposed to lighting and new parking lot due to the light and biological impacts.
- The new parking lot would be located on dedicated trails along the ridge.

Diane Everett

- MMHS should be a good neighbor to Malibu Park.
- The proposed project would diminish the quality of life in the area. The school should respect the unique qualities of Malibu Park.
- A good solution to the lack of parking is to provide parking at Zuma Beach.

Steve Scheinkman

- Safety concerns must be addressed first.
- Bond money should be used to improve existing conditions.
- The operating costs of the proposed athletic field improvements are excessive.
- Traffic has been sufficiently addressed in the studies; this should be extended to lighting.
- The sewer and septic systems must be evaluated.
- The school is not an exception to City standards.

Janet Siderman

- Approves of green design.
- 203 nights of light is too many; night games are good for the kids and the community.
- Traffic improvements should consider its impact to Juan Cabrillo Elementary School. The removal of parking along Morning View would leave no parking for volunteers or parents at any of the campuses.

Cathey Cadieux

- Traffic conditions during peak hours (pick-up/drop-off) are horrible.
- Cars maneuver around designated lanes of traffic.
- Parking issues have not been resolved, 250 parking spaces is not sufficient.
- Approves of green design.
- Increased use would result in increased expenses. The funding could not sustain improvements.
- What are the costs of maintenance on-site?
- How will the septic system be handled?
- Soil conditions (clay) have no percolation. How will water be captured?
- How will traffic control, police officers and funding be dealt with?
- Fire hazards would increase with increased use.
- Lighting will increase the need for police enforcement,
- What is the long term carbon footprint of the project?
- Night time lighting diminishes the night sky.
- Says “no to night time light”

Laura Rosenthal

- Addressing parking and traffic issues may lead to other impacts.
- Sports reduce kid's risky behaviors.
- Lights could be used to a reasonable extent. Users would be primarily students utilizing the field for practice and other activities. It should not be assumed that all users would be visitors to the campus.
- Lighting would provide increased sports opportunities for adults in the community.
- The school must make progress and move forward.

Dusty Peak

- The character of Malibu is unique.
- The existing facilities work without lights.
- The project keeps growing with the increased size of the parking lot and the loop road around campus.
- Have the lighting impacts associated with the parking lot been evaluated? The parking lot is located along the ridgeline; what about the ridgeline law?
- Once improvements begin the project will continue to grow, where is the middle ground?

Pat Greenwood

- Sports programs are very important.
- Existing facilities work without lights.
- The school should be a good neighborhood, follow the LCP and maintain the rural residential character of the area.
- Lighting is not allowed in the City. Joint-use agreement does not include lighting.
- Supports renovations and improvements.

Cindy Vandor

- There is limited time and money for this infeasible project.
- Requests the availability of a clear design schematic and a breakdown of operating costs.
- The City voted for public safety, improvements, renovations, and improvements to existing facilities.
- Each analysis performed increases costs.
- Cabrillo parking could be relocated. Remove buses from the emergency access driveway.
- Traffic plan looks great, however loop road must allow for fire truck turn around.
- Emergency access to the high school commons makes no sense. The school is an evacuation location; it must be safe.
- Will the capacity of the septic system be increased?
- The pressure of fire hydrants should be tested.
- An onsite drafting hydrant near the pool should be installed. Hoses should be available onsite

MEETING CONCLUSIONS

In moving forward, the EIR will examine benefits of the sustainable approach, and alternatives to the project to determine if they would reduce potential impacts. The next opportunity to the public to comment will be on the draft EIR. All comments on the draft EIR will be responded to in the final EIR.

COMMENT CARD
MMHS Campus Improvements Project
Design and Sustainability Community Outreach Meeting
January 27, 2009

Name: PATRICIA GREENWOOD

Affiliation: _____

Address: 5906 PHILIP AVE.
MALIBU, CA 90265

Comments: SUPPORTIVE OF SCHOOL
AND EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS
AND GOOD NEIGHBOR POLICY,
WITH CITY LCP PROHIBITING
LIGHTS THAT SEEMS A NON-
ISSUE.

Please send all comments to the following address:
Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District
1651 16th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Attn: Janece Maez
(310) 450-8338(telephone) / (310) 581-6720(facsimile)

COMMENT CARD

COMMENT CARD
MMHS Campus Improvements Project
Design and Sustainability Community Outreach Meeting
January 27, 2009

Name: CATHEY CADIEUX

Affiliation: RESIDENT MORNING VIEW DR

Address: 30510 MORNING VIEW DR
MALIBU, CA 90265

Comments: NO TO 203 NIGHTS.

NO TO LIGHTING, WHAT IS

BEING DONE TO ASCERTAIN ONGOING

COSTS OF MAINTAINING A LIT
SPORTS STADIUM, ESPECIALLY
WHEN THE STATE OF CA HAS A

6 BILLION SHORTFALL BY JUNE 1ST

PLEASE SPEND THE \$\$\$ SAFETY FIRST

Please send all comments to the following address:
Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District
1651 16th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Attn: Janece Maez
(310) 450-8338(telephone) / (310) 581-6720(facsimile)

COMMENT CARD